

## Disclosures

- Julie Cagney, PT, DPT
- Sarah Murdoch, PT, DPT, ATP
- · Kaitlin MacDonald, MOT, OTR/L
  - Have no financial or non-financial interests to disclose

## Objectives

- Recognize the importance of mobility in children under 3 years of age
- years of age
  Apply current literature to explain and classify the areas of pediatric development that would benefit from early mobility such as cognition, social interaction, visual perceptual skills, and orthopedic structures.
  Identify various funding sources to acquire pediatric mobility equipment.
  Assess and select appropriate pediatric devices that will provide early mobility and enhance development for 3 different pediatric case studies.

#### Locomotion and Developmental Considerations

#### LOCOMOTION- noun, Movement or the ability to move from one place to another- Oxford Dictionary

- Self directed locomotion is a critical element in the development of:
   Depth perception: related to degree of locomotor experience
   Memory

  - Object permanence
     Object relation without reference to oneself
- Experience, not maturation alone, drives perceptual cognitive development. Self induced movements are critical in the development of a multitude of systems. •
- Motor development is a rate limiting factor in many perceptual cognitive skills, as movement assists in acquiring perception.
- ٠ If infants are unable to engage in motor activities necessary for acquisition of perceptual or cognitive skills, the motor problem may block mental development.

www.kennedykrieger.org

### Evidence!

- RESNA Position on the Application of Power Chairs for Pediatric • Users:
  - Age appropriate supervision is always necessary! (Attendant control) - A child's ability to drive a motorized wheelchair is related to cognitive readiness, not chronological age.
  - Functional independent mobility has been shown to:
    - · Reduce learned helplessness
    - · Increase confidence and interactions with peers

#### Evidence!

- Orthopedic Limitations and Cerebral Palsy, 18-72 months, power mobility training – Development Observations Checklist-part III, PSSC, MATCH, Survey of
  - Development Observations or consumption in a roady, which is, convey of Technology Use: scores indicated decreased parental stress, improved satisfaction with sleep/wake schedules, increased satisfaction with child's independence, no increase in negative emotions regarding wheelchairs.
- · Multiple diagnoses, 14-30 months, power mobility training BDI, PEDI, Early Coping Inventory: scores increased significantly more than control group
- Children with myelodysplasia, 7-12 months, power mobility • training
  - Bayle 3 scores for cognition and language: increased at a rate faster than chronological age

## **Determination of Readiness**

#### CLINICAL JUDGEMENT!

- · IQ is not an adequate determinant of ability for eligibility
- Unless the child is actually placed in the power wheelchair, it is difficult to determine appropriateness!

www.kennedykrieg

- Pediatric Power Wheelchair Screening Test (Tefft, Guerret, Furumasu 1999): determines cognitive developmental age
  - Cause and effect
    Spatial relationship
  - Judgment
    Motor planning

  - Reaction time





## Benefits of Standing

- Children with impaired mobility are at increased risk of developing musculoskeletal abnormalities, such as scoliosis and pelvic misalignment
- Medical Benefits:
  - Prevention of contractures
  - \_ Improvement of range of motion
- Reduction in spasticity
   Prevention of osteoporosis
- Prevention of pressure ulcers through changing position
   Improved circulation
- Improved bowel function
- Improved respiratory function
   Development and/or improvement of upper body and core strength
   Psychological Benefits







## How to Justify

## Important to Remember:

It is appropriate for children at this age to require supervision with mobility

www.kennedykrie

- Importance of mobility for development
   Current positioning in stroller or other device
   Outcome measures as appropriate
   Pictures & video using device

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
CURPENT SEATE	NC / MOBILITY:		
Connect Mobility P	None ODener	lant DDanandant with Til	- CManual Cliconstar Cliconst
Type of Control:	ase. Chrone Chregen	en untpenden min in	Containe Cocore Crow
Manufacturar.	Max	4-	Sarial #
Size	Cold	····	Age
Ourrent Condition of	Mohility Rate		
Ourrant Seating Suit	am: A	ne of Sasting System	
COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	CONDITION	
Seat Base			
Cushion			
Back			
Lateral trunk			
supports			
Thigh support			
Knee support			
Foot Support			
Foot strap			
Head Support			
Pelvic Stabilization			
Anterior			
Chest/Shoulder			
Support			
UE Support			
Other			
	Charles and the second se	Overall spic length	Overall wie width

			Ho	N٦	Γο J	ustify	/			
ADL STATUS (in reference to wheelchair use):										
	Indep	Assist	Unable	Indep with Equip	Not assessed	Comments				
Dressing										
Eating						Describe or	al motor skills			
Grooming/Hygiene										
deal Prep										
ADLS										
sowel Mngmnt: Continent Incontinent Acc				Accie	ients	Comments:				
ladder Mngmnt □Continent □Incontinent □Ac				□ Acc	idents	Comments:				
MOBILITY/BALANCE:							A			
Elude - Del	Balan	ce			111	asters	Ambulation			
Sitting Ba	ance:	50	nung Ba	sance	U indepe	ident	Independent			
LI WFL		14	VFL UN		U Min Assist		Amountes with Asst			
LIUses UE for sitting	balance ir	. [	⊔Min assist		□ Mod Asst		Ambulates with Devic			
□ Min Assist		□ Mod assist		at	□ Max assist		Indep. Short Distance     Only			
□ Mod Assist	□ Mod Assist		□ Max assist		Dependent		Unable to Ambulate			
□Max Assist	fax Assist 🗆 Unable		Unable	Sliding B		Board				
□ Unable				Lift / Sling Required						
0		-		_						



How To Justify										
OBJECTIVE MAXUESS □ Insteads (Makir) Anorement (PAA) Proor rifer to statehol (PAA from UNUP) UNUP UNUP UNUP UNUP UNUP UNUP UNU										

## Additional Objective Measures

- GMFM
- Seated Postural Control Measure (SPCM) 34-item evaluation to measure postural alignment & functional movement
- Pressure Mapping
- Powered Mobility Program

## How to Justify: Other Equipment

- Justify:
  - Medical necessity
  - Developmental benefits
  - Changes in tone/spasticity, posture, range of motion, strength, etc. with use of device
- Outcome Measures: – GMFM

  - Peabody
  - Gait: Walk tests, TUG
  - Gait: Walk tests, TUGBalance: BERG, functional reach

## Funding Sources

- Local Service Clubs
- Fundraisers
- Darryl Gwynn Foundation http://darrellgwynnfoundation.org
- Lollipop Kids
- http://www.lollipopkidsfoundation.org Equipment Connections
- http://www.equipforchildren.org · Wheelchairs 4 Kids
  - http://www.wheelchairs4kids.org

#### Challenges

 Not everyone who is incapable of walking or propelling a manual wheelchair effectively is a candidate for powered mobility. Motivation, understanding of basic cause and effect, spatial relationships, problem-solving concepts, attention, and motor activation for drive controls are necessary.

## Case Studies- Wyatt



11 month old male with a history of transverse myelitis at 8 months of age. Initial MRI showed spinal cord inflammation from C5-T8. Assessment Tetraplegia Disuse muscular atrophy Suspect neurogenic bowel and bladder Absent/decreased sensation bilateral LE Weak cough

LE spasticity with position changes At risk for

Autonomic dysreflexia Osteoporosis and fragility fracture Hip subluxation Neuromuscular scoliosis

#### Case Studies- Wyatt Changes after 4 weeks of inpatient ABRT Initial Presentation Right upper extremity weakness - Hip flexor/extensor activation Began weight bearing through bilateral LEs \_ - Fine motor deficits - Decreased/absent sensation below level of injury

- \_
- LE paralysis
- Dependent assist for maintenance of developmental
- positions and functional mobility Sat with bilateral UE support for bouts of 1 minute (no
- protective extension)
- Creeping short distances Improved trunk strength and sitting balance (could lift an arm)
- Less caregiver assistance for \_ sitting, creeping, and bed mobility
- UE strength and fine motor skills were in the average \_ range

www.kennedykr

## Case Studies - Wyatt

## Mobility Trials & Recommendations

- Encouraged creepingEducated family on crawling with
- assistance
- Manual wheelchair • Mobile Stander
- Locomotor Training and over ground •
- gait training Gait trainers
- .
- Power wheelchair was not something the family was interested in trying at this time





## Case Study- Owen

.

.

#### Initial Presentation

- Dependent in tilt in space w/c or adapted stroller
  Dependent for all ADLs, functional
- mobility tasks, sitting balance, and head controlCould complete shoulder shrugs,
- but otherwise had 0/5 strength throughout UE and LEs
- Absent sensation



#### Changes after 4 weeks of Inpatient ABRT

- Could sit in posterior propped position with occasional assistance for head control
- He had improved head control for longer periods of time in supported sitting

Improved ability to sip and puff compared to admission, however fatigued quickly and was inconsistent

## Case Study- Owen

## Mobility Trials and Recommendations

Locomotor trainingStanding

- Trialed various drive controls with a power w/c including: sip and puff, head array, and chin control
- Worked on his ability to sip and puff as well as head control (outside of the w/c training)



## Case Studies-Ella



2 ½ year old female with a history of transverse myelitis at 9 months of age. Initial MRI showed inflammation from brainstem to T4 Assessment Tetraplegia Disuse muscular atrophy Neurogenic bowel and bladder Hx of respiratory failure, trach in place Dysphagia, g-tube in place

Dysphagia, g-tube in place Sensation impairment Spasticity risk for:

At risk for: Autonomic dysreflexia Osteoporosis and fragility fracture Hip subluxation Neuromuscular scoliosis

## www.konnodukelozo

# Case Studies-Ella

## **Initial Presentation**

- LE paralysis
   Right UE stronger then left; right UE against gravity movement at shoulder, elbow and wrist; left UE gravity eliminated movements at shoulder and elbow; no digit movements
- Sat with UE support and mod assistance



Changes after 6 weeks of inpatient ABRT

- UE against gravity

 Sat with UE support and supervision

movement bilaterally at the shoulder, elbow, and forearm, trace left hand

## Case Study- Ella



- Patient returned 8 months later
   Patient had been decannulated prior to admission
  - Admitted for 6 weeks for an update to Home Rehabilitation Program and for the feeding program
  - Family had done an excellent job having patient work on recommendations at home
  - New Goals: Assist with ADLs and functional mobility tasks (rolling), improving sitting balance, and having patient begin to eat more consistently
  - Upon discharge:
    - Gluteal activation
    - · Rolling independently when motivated
    - Assisting with ADLs
    - · Recommended a power wheelchair



## Conclusion

- Summary
- A thriving child requires a team approach to incorporate many systems of development
- Get your kids moving early
- You never know until you try
- Client drive choices, not insurance driven choices

www.kennedyk



